|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Intervention | Component Elements and Products | Duration | Amount | Description & Goals |
| Equality Data Initiative | | | | |
| [*First Equality Data Background Report*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/timishev-v-russia) (Internal, November 2013)  [*Making Big Data Work for Equality*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/making-big-data-work-equality-0) (Voice, November 2013)  [*Equality Data Symposium I*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/events/symposium-equality-data-collection)(advocacy and dissemination event, November 2014)  [*Equality Data Initiative Background Paper*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/publications/equality-data-initiative-background-paper)(November 2014)  [*No Equality without Data*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/no-equality-without-data)(Voice, 2014)  [*Ethnic Origin and Disability Data Collection in Europe: Measuring Inequality—Combating Discrimination*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/ethnic-origin-and-disability-data-collection-europe-measuring-inequality-combating)(Report, November 2014)  *Equality Data Symposium II (advocacy and dissemination event, November 2014)* | **Organizational and individual consultancies (pooled funds: RIO, HRI, OSEPI, Fund to Counter Xenophobia/later OSIFE, At Home in Europe/Later OSIFE )**  Migration Policy Group, background research and Equality Data Initiative (EDI) reports (2014, $24,375)  ENAR: organization of national focus groups (2013-2015)  Claire Fernandez, identification of NGO partners, data from national focus groups: (2014, $9,000 )  Angelina Atanasova: assistant coordinator of EDI for OSEPI (2013, $15,000; 2014, $12,500)  **Events**  Meetings of the Equality Data Initiative advisory groups (January 2013, November 2013 and 2014)  Equality Data Symposium I & II (Conference and Report, 2013 and 2014) | 2013–present | 2013: $135,085  2014: $147,858  **Sub-total*:*** $282,943 | The original inspiration for the EDI came from the realization across various OSF programs that detecting, proving, preventing, and compensating for discrimination requires large pools of data disaggregated by ethnicity, disability, or other status.  The initiative aimed at unblocking the development of EU hard and soft law on equality data collection in Europe supporting the implementation of existing EU antidiscrimination law.  It consisted of: a background research report on the basis of which we selected seven key countries for further research to unblock national positions on equality data; seven focus groups and research trips at national level. The final research report was based on the outcomes of the national-level consultations. All along the implementation of the project, the EDI team used direct policy and public advocacy towards the EU Commission and other Brussels institutions to increase interest in equality data. |
| Support to infringement actions by the European Commission | | | | |
| REGIONAL | | | | |
| [*The Race Equality Directive: A Shadow Report*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/race-equality-directive-shadow-report) (July 2013)  [*Racism in Europe and What to Do about It*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/racism-europe-and-what-do-about-it)(Voice, 2012) | **Internal research + short term-consultancy**  Eefje de Kroon (2013, $10,200)  Supporting the Fund to Counter Xenophobia event on Racism in Europe (2012) | 2013 | 2013: $10,200 | The report collects lessons learned from the implementation of the Race Equality Directive in nine European Union member states (Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, and Spain). It identifies trends in the challenges facing the countries examined, examples of successful or problematic implementation, and includes recommendations for improving effective implementation, especially through infringement actions by the EU Commission. |
| ITALY | | | | |
| Direct advocacy and confidential meetings with EU, COE, and Italian officials (political and administrative level)  CrossOver Rights, [*Seminar on housing desegregation*](http://docplayer.it/3712997-Crossover-per-un-agenda-di-diritti-condivisa-tra-societa-civile-e-istituzioni.html) in the context of the Italian EU presidency 2014  Direct infringement request through legal briefings  [*European Commission v. Italy*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/ec-v-italy)  [*Italy takes a step forward confronting Anti-Roma discrimination*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/italy-takes-step-towards-confronting-anti-roma-discrimination) (Voice, 2013)  [*Italy’s segregated camps for Roma face discrimination challenge*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/italys-segregated-camps-roma-face-discrimination-challenge) (Voice, 2012)  [*UN committee rebukes Italy over minority discrimination*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/un-committee-rebukes-italy-over-minority-discrimination)(Voice, 2012)  Formal briefing to International Institutions:  [Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) submission](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/submission-committee-elimination-racial-discrimination-italy)  Litigation [*Salkanovic v. Italy*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/salkanovic-v-ministry-interior-italy)(Tribunal of Rome: judgment 2013) | **Direct advocacy and events supported by OSIFE, JI and OSEPI** | 2009–ongoing |  | Since 2009, the Justice Initiative and OSEPI have requested the European Commission to commence infringement proceedings against Italy for violations of EU racial antidiscrimination and data protection law on Roma. Italy was selected because it is the only country in Europe where racial segregation is publicly sponsored by the state administration through the construction and management of isolated Roma camps.  The Justice Initiative was also involved in domestic proceedings against the ‘nomad emergency’ measures. OSIFE supported various grants and convenings at the national level with a similar aim.  Advocacy focused on various levels: EU, Council of Europe, national government and the local administrations in Rome and Naples. |
| BULGARIA | | | | |
| [*Nikolova v. CEZ*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/nikolova-v-cez-electricity)(CJEU: filed 2014, judgment pending) | **Individual consultancies**  Daniela Mihaylova: 60010714 (domestic counsel, 2015, $5,000)  Ruth Tobler: 60010083 (vetting EU law arguments, 2014, $3,475)  **Communications and advocacy**  [Case Watch ahead of oral argument](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/case-watch-inaccessible-electricity-meters-roma-challenged-under-eu-law) (Voices)  [Case Watch upon release of Advocate General opinion](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/case-watch-eu-courts-legal-adviser-assesses-roma-discrimination-case) (Voices) | 2010–present | 2014: $3,475  2015: $5,000  ***Sub-total:***  ***$8,475*** | The Justice Initiative is representing Anelia Nikolova before the CJEU in a case that challenged the majority Czech owned electricity company CEZ’s practice of elevating electricity meters seven meters from the ground in “Roma districts,” leaving the meters at head height and easily accessible in several districts. Advocate General Kokott’s March 2015 opinion embraced many of the Justice Initiative’s arguments on the application of EU antidiscrimination protection in the case. A decision by the CJEU is expected on July 16, 2015. |
| Public hearing in the European Parliament on fighting racial  discrimination in housing: forced evictions against Roma in Bulgaria and other countries.  Private briefing between CSOs from Bulgaria and the infringement unit  Legal analysis and report to determine whether infringement of EU anti-discrimination law has occurred in the area of housing for Roma against in Bulgaria.  [*Bulgaria Must Stop Its Anti-Roma Attacks*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/bulgaria-must-stop-its-anti-roma-attacks)  (Voice, July 2015) | OSEPI organized  **Individual consultancies**  Daniela Mihaylova, Equal Opportunities Association, Bulgaria | October, 2015  October, 2015  2015–present | €3,000  €10,000 | OSEPI placed Roma evictions on the EU’s agenda by bringing together MEPs from the five biggest political groups on Roma issues for the first time. The hearing discussed and reviewed the situation of forced evictions of Roma in Bulgaria and other countries. As a result the EC infringement unit launched an inquiry towards the Bulgarian government.  The briefing aimed to bring together CSOs and EC officials from the infringement unit to discuss the Roma evictions in Bulgaria and the opportunity to launch an infringement procedure.  The study aims to collect evidence that Roma are victims of discriminatory treatment, as they are victims of demolition of their homes, without being consulted on alternative solutions or proposed alternative accommodation. The analysis will support the advocacy campaign for initiation of infringement procedure against Bulgaria for violating EU Directive 2000/43 in the area of housing. |
| CZECH REPUBLIC | | | | |
| Implementation of [*D.H. and Others v. Czech Republic*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/dh-and-others-v-czech-republic)(ECtHR, judgment 2007)  Advocacy towards the European Parliament and the European Commission to initiate infringement procedure against the Czech Republic  [*New EU commissioner needs to be more active on Roma*](https://euobserver.com/opinion/125818)  (Voice, September 2014)  [*Brussels Takes Action against Czech Republic over Roma School Discrimination*](https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/press-releases/brussels-takes-action-against-czech-republic-over-roma-school-discrimination)  (Voice, September 2014) | **SEE**: operational activities and associated spending covered in the [D.H. Implementation Portfolio Review](https://osf.box.com/s/ht0cyi7ill4tv7ihjsj50f3yu7o0rha5) materials.  Letter to Commissioner Jourova with proposed list of indicators to be used when evaluating the measures of the Czech government  Private briefing for CSOs and the EC  OSEPI’s advocacy towards the EU institutions: sent background information and regular updates on the Czech case to the European Parliament and European Commission; proposed hearing, oral questions, field trip of MEPs to the Czech Republic; sent amendments for EP related reports such as the one on Fundamental Rights. | 2007–present  January, 2015  December, 2015  2014–2015 | ***Sub-total: $67,500***[[1]](#footnote-1) | The Justice Initiative has engaged in joint efforts by several OSF programs to ensure that the landmark judgment in *D.H. and Others v. Czech Republic* is implemented in practice.  The letter helped the EC to assess the Czech responses by analyzing the status of the list of indicators. The EC used the list in its communication with the government and informed OSEPI that the input assisted their understanding of what needs to be done by the Czech authorities.  The Czech Republic submitted its estimates of the number of Roma children attending special schools to the Commission. The EC was satisfied with the numbers. OSEPI raised concerns on the data collection methods with the EC officials by bringing CSOs from the Czech Republic to provide evidence to the EC infringement unit. As a result, the EC used the provided evidence for the January 2016 progress report of the Commission in regard to the infringement case against the Czech Republic over Roma school discrimination. |

1. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)